UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Document 35

The Kroger Co., Case No.: 0-1-02 439

Plaintiff, Beckwith, J.

Sherman, M.J.

5.

rent admittedly due.

MALEASE'S REPLY MEMORANDUM

Malease Foods Corp., formerly IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO known as Malese Foods Corp., RELEASE FUNDS DEPOSITED

WITH THE REGISTRY OF

Defendant. THE COURT

The two reported decisions cited by Kroger in its opposition memorandum are completely beside the point and do not offer any support for Kroger's refusal to pay the

General Box Company v. Rockaway Corp., 772 F.2d 906 (6th Cir. 1985), is listed in the Federal Reporter as a decision without published opinion and is of no precedential value. Washington Capitols Basketball Club, Inc. v. Barry, 419 F.2d 472, 476 (9th Cir. 1969), involved an injunction to maintain the status quo against a unique star basketball player involved in a contractual dispute between the team he was playing for and the team that would like to sign him. Tanner Motor Livery, Ltd. v. Avis, Inc., 316 F.2d 804, 808809 (9th Cir. 1963), *cert. denied*, 375 U.S. 821 (1963), involved a dispute between Avis Rent-A-Car and its exclusive licensee where Avis terminated the license and then opened competing car rental agencies in the exclusive licensee's territory. The Court of Appeals vacated a preliminary injunction upon the ground that it gave plaintiff substantially all the injunctive relief that it could have received after a trial on the merits and thus was an abuse of discretion.

In the matter at bar there is a simple contract dispute between a landlord and a tenant. Malease as landlord has at all times performed its contractual obligations as landlord and continues to do so. Kroger as tenant has the concomitant obligation to pay the rent reserved under the lease. Until such time as this Court determines otherwise the parties are obligated by the contracts they signed. It is hornbook law that a tenant cannot avoid paying rent even where the landlord has allegedly breached the lease, as long as the tenant is in possession of the premises. See, e.g., Edgerton v. Page, 20 N.Y. 281, 284 (1859) (annexed hereto as Exhibit "A"):

In this case, the answer shows that the defendant continued to occupy the premises for the whole time for which the rent demanded accrued... I cannot see upon what principle the landlord should be absolutely barred from a recovery of rent, when his wrongful acts stop short of depriving the tenant of the possession of any portion of the premises. The injury inflicted may be to an amount much larger than the whole rent, or it may be of a trifling character. In all the cases where it has been held that the rent was extinguished or suspended,

the tenant has been deprived, in whole or in part, of the possession by the wrongful act of the landlord, either actually or constructively. There is no authority extending the rule beyond this class of cases.

As Kroger is in possession of the premises it is obligated to pay rent to its landlord Malease and is not entitled to avoid this obligation by escrowing the rent. In addition, Article V of the lease between the parties (Exhibit "B" hereto) requires the rent to be paid to Malease without any offsets. The escrow requested by Kroger would effectively abrogate this contractual provision.

Both Kroger and Malease have made substantial damage claims against each other in a sum in excess of \$50,000.00. At this time, neither side is entitled to have an escrow established to secure what it hopes will be a favorable outcome in this lawsuit, especially since Kroger is obligated to pay rent as it becomes due both as a matter of law and of contract.

As noted in Malease's motion this Court granted the Kroger request for an escrow within days of the time it filed its motion and before Malease had any opportunity to respond. Now that the positions of the parties have been placed before the Court it is clear that Kroger as tenant has no legal basis for what is in essence a pre-judgment attachment of all rents due Malease as landlord, and Malease respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order that the accrued rent due be immediately paid to it and that Kroger pay all rent to become due during the course of this litigation until such time there is an

Order of the Court determining otherwise.

DATED: NEW YORK, NEW YORK **DECEMBER 22, 2003**

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Robert W. Cinque

Robert W. Cinque Attorneys for Defendant Admitted Pro Hac Vice 845 Third Avenue, Suite 1400 New York, New York 10022 Telephone No.: (212) 759-5515 (212) 759-7737 Telefax No.:

E-mail: CINQUE845@aol.com

-and-

Telefax No.:

R. Gary Winters 0018680 Attorneys for Defendant McCASLIN, IMBUS & McCASLIN Suite 900 Provident Bank Building 632 Vine Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-2442 Telephone No.: (513) 421-4646

(513) 421-7929 E-mail: RGWinters@mimlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on December 22, 2003, a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system and copies will be mailed via U.S. mail to those parties who are not served via the Court's electronic filing system., 2003 to:

Douglas Dennis, Esq. Frost Brown Todd LLC 2200 PNC Center 201 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Attorney for Plaintiff

CINQUE & CINQUE, P. C.

By: /s/ Robert W. Cinque